

HEAD OF DELEGATION (HoD) REPORT

U.S. Member Body of the International Organization for Standardization (ISO)



U.S. National Committee of the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC)

Please return this report within one month of the completion of the international meeting and submit it to the appropriate ANSI Department as follows:

ISO USNC

ISOT@ansi.org USNC@ansi.org

HoD reports can be used for a variety of purposes. For example:

- ❖ To report results of a TC/SC meeting to the related TAG
- ❖ To publicize the work of the TC/SC to the related US constituency via ANSI On-line, USNC News and Notes, or other media
- **❖** To suggest areas for possible development of featured articles
- **❖** To address specific challenges and concerns that the HoD may bring to the attention of related ANSI and/or USNC/IEC management

PLEASE REMEMBER: Your HoD report is NOT filed as a confidential, password protected document and, therefore, may have broad, unintended distribution. Keep this in mind when preparing the report and, if appropriate, use a more secure form of correspondence to call attention to any sensitive issues.

Completed by:

Head of Delegation: (Please print)	Brent Cain
Telephone/Telefax:	864-718-6605
Email:	Brent.Cain@itron.com
Date:	May 4, 2015

Meeting of IEC / CENELEC TC13 Plenary
(Designation/Title)
Date(s) April 13-16, 2015
Location Budanest Hungary – Hungarian National Standards Institute

Please indicate, if available, both the number of delegates and the countries represented at the Meeting:					
Approximately 40 delegates were in attendance. The roster (attached) shows more registered and included the countries represented.					
X Meeting attendance roster and meeting resolutions attached, if available					
Please comment on significant or unusual attendance issues (e.g., new member bodies, regular members not in attendance, new Chairman or Secretariat, non-accredited U.S. persons, etc.).					
MEETING OBSERVATIONS					
2. Overall, how well did the U.S. meet its objectives on policy or technical matters?					
 Very Successful U.S. positions were accepted in whole Successful Compromises were reached which are acceptable to the U.S. Not Successful U.S. positions were not accepted 					
Please comment on any issues of significance which might have an impact upon materially affected or interested U.S. parties.					
I was asked about another US delegate that didn't show. Mr. Farhad Towfig. He wasn't on the original Members attendee list, but there was a nametag provided for him during the meetings. I reviewed the TAG13 membership and he does not appear on the list.					
4. Was there any discussion for which the United States was unprepared? (e.g., late document distribution, addition of new items, etc.)					
No.					
5. Did the U.S. extend an offer to assume any new TC/SC Secretariat or management positions?					
YesX_ No (If yes, please indicate which position and provide Officer contact information.)					
6. Did the U.S. extend an offer to host any future TC/SC meetings?					
YesX_ No If yes, please identify:					

1. MEETING ATTENDANCE

7.	Were any new issues raised which require, or might involve, coordination with other U.S. bodies? (Include coordination items with other U.S. TAGs, ANSI policy-level committees (AIF, AIC, the USNC TMC and/or Council, etc.)			
	YesX_ No If yes, please identify:			
8.	Did the U.S. put forth/agree to put forth any New Work Items?			
	YesX_ No If yes, please identify:			
9.	Was there any evidence of irregular voting by participating countries?			
	YesX_ No If yes, please identify any significant issues or concerns:			
10.	Are work items in the TC or SC being affected by related work in regional standards bodies (e.g., CEN, CENELEC, ETSI, PASC, NAFTA, COPANT, etc.)?			
	Yes _X_ No No related regional activity If yes, please explain:			
11.	Were any new issues raised which require, or might involve, coordination with emerging market countries?			
	Yes _X_ No If yes, please explain:			
12.	Were any issues raised which relate to or impact existing U.S. regulatory matters?			
	YesX_ No If yes, please explain:			
13.	Please identify any IMMEDIATE U.S. TAG actions which will be required as a result of this international meeting.			
	None			
14.	Please identify specific decisions which the U.S. delegation believes to be noteworthy for publication, publicity and/or development of a future article. If there are any, would you be willing to help develop an article for publication?			
	YesX No nomination of Brent Cain as TC13 representative to ACTAD was approved by TC13. Official ion awaits approval by the SMB (Standards Management Board).			

15.	What might be done to further promote the ANSI Federation's goal of "global standards that reflect U.S. interests?" (Consider such issues as how might the U.S. further promote acceptance of related American National Standards in international and, where applicable, regional fora?)				
Continued support of Work Items originating from the US. For example, support of IEC62056-8-20 which is currently in CD comment stage.					
16.	Has this report been provided to your TAG Administrator for US TAG distribution?				
	Yes	_X_	No		
17.	Other Comments				

September 2012